



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,400	03/17/2005	Haral Sieke	AP051-05	5010
10/37 7590 06/12/2008 MILDE & HOFFBERG, LLP 10 BANK STREET SUITE 460 WHITE PLAINS, NY 10606				
EXAMINER				
LANDRUM, EDWARD F				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3724				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/528,400

Applicant(s)

SIEKE ET AL.

Examiner

Edward F. Landrum

Art Unit

3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edward F. Landrum.(3) Karl Milde.(2) Boyer Ashley.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Demonstration of how the cutting unit worked.

Claim(s) discussed: 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wessels, Freeland, Diebold, Metzel (DE 3510738 A1), Wustenberg (DE 8716269.5), Heffner (DE 9311510.5), Fottner (DE8633847.2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed ways to amend claim 34 to overcome the prior art discussed during the interview. It was determined the best way would be to include limitations disclosing the measuring device shown in Figure 23. Including how the measuring device was attached to the cutting unit and the body such that a user could accurately cut a windshield wiper blade.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Edward F Landrum/
Examiner, Art Unit 3724

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.